

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,869	08/22/2003	Chanh Cao Minh	20.2873	1868
23718	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES 200 GILLINGHAM LANE			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MD 200-9 SUGAR LAN	D, TX 77478		2859	
	-		DATE MAILED: 07/20/200	5

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,869	MINH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· 	Dixomara Vargas	2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  r (HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>28 April 2005</i> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4 and 8-3</u>	•	n.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 200		ected to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any object					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	and the second s	. 10(4) (4) 01 (1).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received	•			
	ocuments have been received in Ap	plication No			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of	•	· ——			
application from the Internation		eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action		eceived.			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 442)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	4) Ll Interview Su D-948) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims 2-4 and 8-31 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper filed on 10/20/04. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Freedman et al. (US 6,765,380 B2).

Page 3

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With respect to claim 1, Freedman discloses a method for formation evaluation results from a multi-dimensional representation of nuclear magnetic resonance data, the method comprising the steps of obtaining a set of NMR data for a fluid sample, computing from the set of NMR data a multi-dimensional distribution using a mathematical inversion independent of prior knowledge of fluid sample property (Abstract; Column 9, lines 13-20), displaying the multi-dimensional distribution as an at least two-axis graph (Figures 7-10); identifying at least one fluid instance on the graph representing a probable existence of a detected fluid, and computing the at least a quantitative formation evaluation value for one fluid instance based on the multi-dimensional distribution associated with the at least one fluid instance (Column 12, lines 16-49).

- 5. With respect to claim 6, Freedman discloses the multi-dimensional distribution is displayed along a fluid diffusion axis and a T2 relaxation axis (Figures 7-10).
- 6. With respect to claim 7, Freedman discloses the step wherein the graph includes an overlay with ideal diffusion and a T2 relaxation values (Figures 7-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,869 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 04/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant argues that Freedman does not teach or fairly suggest multi dimensional distribution.
- 10. The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument because Freedman discloses a 3D diffusion-relaxation time distribution (Column 10, lines 20-34) and in addition Figures 7-10 shows the relaxation time distribution of different components compared to the predicted in a laboratory.
- 11. Applicant argues that Freedman fails to teach or fairly suggest a graph-base analysis to identify the fluid peak on the graph.
- 12. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., graph-base analysis to identify the fluid peak on the graph) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,869 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

13. Applicant argues that Freedman fails to teach or fairly suggest the step of computing from NMR data a multi dimensional distribution using a mathematical inversion without the prior knowledge of the fluid sample properties.

14. The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument because Freedman discloses a 3D diffusion-relaxation time distribution wherein the distribution is calculated from equation #7 and can be adjusted to any component in the sample (Column 10, lines 20-34).

### Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,869

Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 July 28, 2005 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 6